



# ¿DOES WHITE ROT RESISTANCE PENALIZE SEED-YIELD IN SUNFLOWER?

M<sup>a</sup> Antonela Giussani, Fernando D. Castaño &  
Santiago G. Delgado

Facultad de Ciencias Agrarias  
Universidad Nacional de Mar del Plata  
Balcarce, Argentina

# Introduction

In Argentina, around  $3.4 \times 10^6$  t of sunflower seeds were harvested in 2022 and more than 50% of it was generated in the Southern and Southeast of Buenos Aires Province.

=> This region is a suitable environment for the sunflower crop but also for White Rot (WR) disease produced by *Sclerotinia sclerotiorum* infections on capitula.

- ▶ Farmers must use moderately WR-resistant hybrids to decrease both potential yield losses and annual production oscillations.

# Introduction

In favorable environments to diseases, moderately resistant cultivars have higher seed-yield than others without it.

=> For *Verticillium* wilt, it was showed that seed-yield and seed-oil of resistant isohybrids to *Verticillium dahliae* were nearly 30% **high** than those of the susceptible counterparts in the most disease severe environments.

# Introduction

In favorable environments to diseases, moderately resistant cultivars have higher seed-yield than others without it.

=> For *Verticillium* wilt, it was showed that seed-yield and seed-oil of resistant isohybrids to *Verticillium dahliae* were nearly 30% high than those of the susceptible counterparts in the most disease severe environments.

Some papers described that agronomic performance of resistant genotypes growing up in disease-free environments is generally **lower** than of susceptible ones (i.e. the biological cost of the resistance).

=> But others said that susceptible and resistant genotypes had **comparable** agronomic performance under disease-free conditions.

# Introduction

Therefore, a question (related to the title of this work) arises: ¿Is there a biological cost of WR-resistance in sunflower?

=> It would have a direct impact on breeding hybrids with both favorable levels of WR-resistance and seed-yield.

# Introduction

Therefore, a question (related to the title of this work) arises: ¿Is there a biological cost of WR-resistance in sunflower?

=> It would have a direct impact on breeding hybrids with both favorable levels of WR-resistance and seed-yield).

An experiment made previously at Balcarce showed that seed-yield components were not dependent on WR-partial resistance.

=> Some R-lines (bred at Balcarce) showed good ability to make hybrids with favorable levels of both seed-yield and WR-resistance.

# Introduction

## Objective:

- ▶ to generate additional information (using more genetic material, variables and environments) in order to validate or not the results found in that single experiment made previously.

## Materials and Methods

### - *Genetic material and experimental design* -

- 56 test-crosses (TC), generated from crossing 40 R-lines to GB and/or GU A-lines,
  - => 2 moderately WR-resistant cultivars (PARAÍSO 20 and ACA 884) and a high seed-yield and oil content cultivar (VDH 487) were used.
- RCBD with 2 replications, was made during 2 years.
  - => Each plot had at least 15 plants (43,000 pl/ha)



## Materials and Methods

### - *WR disease and measured variables* -

- Using the French protocol, 12 pl/plot were inoculated on capitula.  
=>14 dai, they were evaluated twice a week until the detection of the first WR symptoms and then every 7 days. The date and WR-severity (%) were scored.

## Materials and Methods

### - *WR disease and measured variables* -

- Using the French protocol, 12 pl/plot were inoculated on capitula.  
=>14 dai, they were evaluated twice a week until the detection of the first WR symptoms and then every 7 days. The date and WR-severity (%) were scored.
  - ▶ *RIP*: incubation period (in days) of target capitulum related to the mean of checks inoculated on the same day ( $=RIP > 1$ , favorable).
  - ▶ *DLG*: WR-severity progress from the first WR symptom to the date when the maximum WR-severity was detected.
  - ▶ *RDLG*: regression coefficient “b” of DLG for the target capitulum related to the mean of checks inoculated on the same day ( $=RDLG < 1$ , favorable).

## Materials and Methods

- *Seed yield components* -

- All non-inoculated capitula (at least 3/plot), having netting bags, were harvested at maturity.
  - ▶ Seeds were counted and weighed (=at around 11% of humidity).
  - ▶ 1000-seed weight (g).
  - ▶ Seed-oil (%).

## Results and Discussion

### - *WR Partial Resistance* -

- Mean of WR disease incidence  $\approx 60\%$ 
  - => Therefore, RIP and RDLG were measured by at least 14 WRotted capitula.
- ANOVA detected effects of hybrids (test-crosses and cultivars) for RIP ( $p < 0.01$ ) and RDLG ( $p < 0.05$ ), but not of GEI.
  - => Relativize DLG to the mean of checks inoculated on the same day (RDLG) plus a second year of trials reduced the uncontrolled source of variation (i.e. error).

## Results and Discussion

### - *WR Partial Resistance* -

- Testcrosses GB-R4 and GU-R21 had the maximum RIP value (1.31).
  - ⇒ RIP values of 7 TC (GB-R16, GB-R45, GB-R6, GU-R35, GB-R1, GB-R2, GB-R5) and PARAISO 20 were not different from that one ( $p < 0.05$ ).
    - ▶ 4 TC (GB-R16, GB-R6, GU-R35, GB-R1) and PARAISO 20 had the same performance detected before.

## Results and Discussion

### - *WR Partial Resistance* -

- Testcrosses GB-R4 and GU-R21 had the maximum RIP value (1.31).
  - => RIP values of 7 TC (GB-R16, GB-R45, GB-R6, GU-R35, GB-R1, GB-R2, GB-R5) and PARAISO 20 were not different from that one ( $p < 0.05$ ).
    - ▶ 4 TC (GB-R16, GB-R6, GU-R35, GB-R1) and PARAISO 20 had the same performance detected before.
- Testcross GU-R16 had the minimum RDLG value (0.10).
  - => RDLG values of ACA 884, VDH 487 and 43 TC were not different from it ( $p < 0.05$ ).
- And the correlation between RIP and RDLG was ...

**Table:** *Correlation coefficient (r) values between WR variables*

	<b>*RIP</b>	<b>RDLG</b>	<b>SNb</b>	<b>Sw</b>	<b>Soil</b>
<b>RIP</b>	1	-0.19			
<b>RDLG</b>		1			
<b>SNb</b>					
<b>Sw</b>					
<b>Soil</b>					

}  $p > 0.05$

RIP= *Relative incubation period*; RDLG= *Relative daily lesion growth*;

## Results and Discussion

### - *Seed-Yield components* -

- ANOVA detected significant ( $p < 0.01$ ) effects of hybrids (test-cross + cultivars) for all measured variables.
  - => *Seed-oil*: VDH 487 showed maximum value (52.6%) and 22 testcrosses were not different from it.
    - ▶ One TC did not have same performance showed before.
  - => *Seed-nb*: GU-R13 showed the maximum value (1608) and 2 TC (GU-R14, GU-R33) had similar performance.
  - => *1000-seed weight*: GB-R17 showed the maximum value (54.9 g) and ACA 884 and 2 TC (GU-R36, GB-R18) were not different from.



## Results and Discussion

### - *Seed-Yield components* -

- ANOVA detected significant ( $p < 0.01$ ) effects of hybrids (test-cross + cultivars) for all measured variables.
  - => *Seed-oil*: VDH 487 showed maximum value (52.6%) and 22 testcrosses were not different from.
    - ▶ One TC did not have same performance showed before.
  - => *Seed-nb*: GU-R13 showed the maximum value (1608) and 2 TC (GU-R14, GU-R33) had similar performance.
  - => *1000-seed weight*: GB-R17 showed the maximum value (54.9 g) and ACA 884 and 2 TC (GU-R36, GB-R18) were not different from.
- The correlation coefficients among these variables ...

**Table:** *Correlation coefficient (r) values between seed-yield components.*

	<b>*RIP</b>	<b>RDLG</b>	<b>SNb</b>	<b>Sw</b>	<b>Soil</b>
<b>RIP</b>					
<b>RDLG</b>					
<b>SNb</b>			1	0.30	-0.01
<b>Sw</b>				1	0.07
<b>Soil</b>					1

*p* > 0.05

SNb= Seed-number; Sw= 1000 seed-weight (g); Soil= Seed-oil (%)

## Results and Discussion

### - *Relationship WR - Seed-Yield components-*

- The correlation coefficients of WR-Seed yield components were ...

**Table:** Correlation coefficient (r) values between WR variables and seed-yield components.

	<b>*RIP</b>	<b>RDLG</b>	<b>SNb</b>	<b>Sw</b>	<b>Soil</b>
<b>RIP</b>	1	-0.19	-0.28	-0.13	0
<b>RDLG</b>		1	-0.05	-0.30	-0.20
<b>SNb</b>			1	0.30	-0.01
<b>Sw</b>				1	0.07
<b>Soil</b>					1

*p* > 0.05

RIP= *Relative incubation period*; RDLG= *Relative daily lesion growth*;  
 SNb= *Seed-number*; Sw= *1000 seed-weight (g)*; Soil= *Seed-oil (%)*

## Results and Discussion

### - *Relationship WR - Seed-Yield components* -

- The correlation coefficients were all null:
  - => It could be suggested that genes controlling WR-resistance seem to be again independent of those ones controlling seed-yield components.
  - => A favorable level of mycelium growth resistance after infection, would not being penalizing seed-yield of hybrids cultivated in a WR-free environment.
  - => Sunflower breeders could develop moderately WR resistant cultivars without sacrifice seed-yield.

## Results and Discussion

### - *Relationship WR - Seed-Yield components-*

- The correlation coefficients were all null:
  - => It could be suggested that genes controlling WR-resistance seem to be again independent of those ones controlling seed-yield components.
  - => A favorable level of mycelium growth resistance after infection, would not being penalizing seed-yield of hybrids cultivated in a WR-free environment.
  - => Sunflower breeders could develop moderately WR resistant cultivars without sacrifice seed-yield.
- There were not R-lines having simultaneously favorable performance to all measured components.
  - => Besides the high level of RDLG transmitted by R16 when crossed to GU, it generated a progeny having good performance to RIP and RDLG with GB.

## Conclusions

⇒ Under our experimental conditions, an absence of underlying biological cost of WR-resistance in WR-free sunflower plants is suggested again.

## Conclusions

- => Under our experimental conditions, an absence of underlying biological cost of WR-resistance in WR-free sunflower plants is suggested again.
- => Further experiments using other localities and/or years (i.e. environments) and genetic materials would allow knowing the repeatability of results but also the stability of the absence of that cost in hybrids growing in WR-free environments.



# Conclusions

=> Under our experimental conditions, an absence of underlying biological cost of WR-resistance in WR-free sunflower plants is suggested again.

=> Further experiments using other localities and/or years (i.e. environments) and genetic materials would allow knowing the repeatability of results but also the stability of the absence of that cost in hybrids growing in WR-free environments.

**=> None R-lines transmitted simultaneously all favorable attributes.**

